VectorBiTE Methods Training Bayesian State Space Modeling for Time Series Data

The VectorBiTE Team (John W. Smith, Virginia Tech)

Summer 2021



In this section we will:

 Discuss basic fitting methods for Linear Gaussian State Space Models (NDLMs)

In this section we will:

- Discuss basic fitting methods for Linear Gaussian State Space Models (NDLMs)
- Discuss differences between smoothing and forward filtering

In this section we will:

- Discuss basic fitting methods for Linear Gaussian State Space Models (NDLMs)
- Discuss differences between smoothing and forward filtering
- ► Fit NDLMs in JAGS

In this section we will:

- Discuss basic fitting methods for Linear Gaussian State Space Models (NDLMs)
- Discuss differences between smoothing and forward filtering
- ► Fit NDLMs in JAGS
- Examine some diagnostics and applications in JAGS

Suppose that we are interested in the current value of x_t , given the previous t - 1 estimates $x_{1:t-1}$, and the observations $y_{1:t}$.

- Suppose that we are interested in the current value of x_t, given the previous t − 1 estimates x_{1:t−1}, and the observations y_{1:t}.
- The model is linear and Gaussian, so the evolution function and observation density function will have the form

 $x_t \sim N(A_t x_{t-1} + b_t, \phi)$ $y_t \sim N(\alpha_t x_t + \beta_t, \tau)$

- Suppose that we are interested in the current value of x_t, given the previous t − 1 estimates x_{1:t−1}, and the observations y_{1:t}.
- The model is linear and Gaussian, so the evolution function and observation density function will have the form

$$x_t \sim N(A_t x_{t-1} + b_t, \phi)$$

$$y_t \sim N(\alpha_t x_t + \beta_t, \tau)$$

▶ Is there an analytic full conditional distribution for *x*_t?

- Suppose that we are interested in the current value of x_t, given the previous t − 1 estimates x_{1:t−1}, and the observations y_{1:t}.
- The model is linear and Gaussian, so the evolution function and observation density function will have the form

$$x_t \sim N(A_t x_{t-1} + b_t, \phi)$$

$$y_t \sim N(\alpha_t x_t + \beta_t, \tau)$$

- ▶ Is there an analytic full conditional distribution for *x*_t?
 - Yes there is, and its a familiar friend

► If we write out the equation for the full conditional distribution, $\pi(x_t|x_{1:t-1}, y_{1:t}) \propto \exp\left(-\frac{\phi}{2}(x_t - A_t x_{t-1} - b_t)^2\right)$ $\exp\left(-\frac{\tau}{2}(y_t - \alpha_t x_t - \beta_t)^2\right),$

• If we write out the equation for the full conditional distribution,

$$\pi(x_t|x_{1:t-1}, y_{1:t}) \propto \exp\left(-\frac{\phi}{2}(x_t - A_t x_{t-1} - b_t)^2\right)$$

$$\exp\left(-\frac{\tau}{2}(y_t - \alpha_t x_t - \beta_t)^2\right),$$

we can do a little algebra to come to the conclusion that $\pi(x_t|\cdot) \sim N(\mu^* = \frac{\phi(A_t x_{t-1} + b_t) + \tau \alpha_t(y_t - \beta_t))}{\phi + \tau \alpha_t^2}, \phi^* = \phi + \tau \alpha_t^2)$

• If we write out the equation for the full conditional distribution, $\pi(x_t|x_{1:t-1}, y_{1:t}) \propto \exp\left(-\frac{\phi}{2}(x_t - A_t x_{t-1} - b_t)^2\right)$ $\exp\left(-\frac{\tau}{2}(y_t - \alpha_t x_t - \beta_t)^2\right),$

we can do a little algebra to come to the conclusion that $\pi(x_t|\cdot) \sim N(\mu^* = \frac{\phi(A_t x_{t-1} + b_t) + \tau \alpha_t(y_t - \beta_t))}{\phi + \tau \alpha_t^2}, \phi^* = \phi + \tau \alpha_t^2)$

This is the Kalman filter solution for updating the states

What if instead of estimating the current value of x_t given the previous t − 1 estimates x_{1:t−1} and the observations y_{1:t}, we wanted to use all of the data, x_{1:T} and y_{1:T}?

- What if instead of estimating the current value of x_t given the previous t − 1 estimates x_{1:t−1} and the observations y_{1:t}, we wanted to use all of the data, x_{1:T} and y_{1:T}?
- Why would we want to do this?

- What if instead of estimating the current value of x_t given the previous t − 1 estimates x_{1:t−1} and the observations y_{1:t}, we wanted to use all of the data, x_{1:T} and y_{1:T}?
- Why would we want to do this?
 - We get more information about the latent states by using all of the data

- What if instead of estimating the current value of x_t given the previous t − 1 estimates x_{1:t−1} and the observations y_{1:t}, we wanted to use all of the data, x_{1:T} and y_{1:T}?
- Why would we want to do this?
 - We get more information about the latent states by using all of the data
 - Better estimation of Θ

- What if instead of estimating the current value of x_t given the previous t − 1 estimates x_{1:t−1} and the observations y_{1:t}, we wanted to use all of the data, x_{1:T} and y_{1:T}?
- Why would we want to do this?
 - We get more information about the latent states by using all of the data
 - Better estimation of Θ
- The process of using all of the data at once to estimate the latent states is commonly called *smoothing*

► If we write out the equation for the full conditional distribution, $\pi(x_t|x_{1:t-1}, x_{t+1:T}, y_{1:T}) \propto \exp\left(-\frac{\phi}{2}(x_t - A_t x_{t-1} - b_t)^2\right)$ $\exp\left(-\frac{\tau}{2}(y_t - \alpha_t x_t - \beta_t)^2\right)$ $\exp\left(-\frac{\phi}{2}(x_{t+1} - A_{t+1} x_t - b_{t+1})^2\right),$

► If we write out the equation for the full conditional distribution,

$$\pi(x_t | x_{1:t-1}, x_{t+1:T}, y_{1:T}) \propto \exp\left(-\frac{\phi}{2}(x_t - A_t x_{t-1} - b_t)^2\right) \\ \exp\left(-\frac{\tau}{2}(y_t - \alpha_t x_t - \beta_t)^2\right) \\ \exp\left(-\frac{\phi}{2}(x_{t+1} - A_{t+1} x_t - b_{t+1})^2\right),$$

we can do a little algebra to come to the conclusion that

$$\pi(x_t|\cdot) \sim N(\mu^* = \frac{\phi(A_t x_{t-1} + b_t + A_{t+1}(x_{t+1} - b_{t+1})) + \tau\alpha_t(y_t - \beta_t)}{\phi(1 + A_{t+1}^2) + \tau\alpha_t^2},$$

$$\phi^* = \phi(1 + A_{t+1}^2) + \tau\alpha_t^2)$$

▶ If we write out the equation for the full conditional distribution,

$$\pi(x_t | x_{1:t-1}, x_{t+1:T}, y_{1:T}) \propto \exp\left(-\frac{\phi}{2}(x_t - A_t x_{t-1} - b_t)^2\right) \\ \exp\left(-\frac{\tau}{2}(y_t - \alpha_t x_t - \beta_t)^2\right) \\ \exp\left(-\frac{\phi}{2}(x_{t+1} - A_{t+1} x_t - b_{t+1})^2\right),$$

we can do a little algebra to come to the conclusion that

$$\pi(\mathbf{x}_t|\cdot) \sim N(\mu^* = \frac{\phi(A_t \mathbf{x}_{t-1} + b_t + A_{t+1}(\mathbf{x}_{t+1} - b_{t+1})) + \tau \alpha_t(\mathbf{y}_t - \beta_t)}{\phi(1 + A_{t+1}^2) + \tau \alpha_t^2},$$

$$\phi^* = \phi(1 + A_{t+1}^2) + \tau \alpha_t^2)$$

This is the smoothing solution for updating the states

The key difference between forward filtering vs smoothing is that forwarding filtering uses only the data *before* time step *t*, while smoothing uses all of the data

- The key difference between forward filtering vs smoothing is that forwarding filtering uses only the data *before* time step *t*, while smoothing uses all of the data
- Forward filtering is frequently used in *real time forecasting* applications, where a process is being monitored and predicted, usually on short timescales

- The key difference between forward filtering vs smoothing is that forwarding filtering uses only the data *before* time step *t*, while smoothing uses all of the data
- Forward filtering is frequently used in *real time forecasting* applications, where a process is being monitored and predicted, usually on short timescales
- Applications include robotics, weather forecasting



Example of smoothing taken from Dietze 2018. Note that the uncertainty is highest in the center of the missing observations



Example of forward filtering taken from Dietze 2018. The uncertainty grows increasingly large because we are only looking forward in time

- Let's start with a simple state space model of the form $x_t \sim N(A_t x_{t-1} + b_t, \phi)$ $y_t \sim N(\alpha_t x_t + \beta_t, \tau)$
- Lets assume $A, b, \alpha, \beta, \phi, \tau$ are all known
- ▶ Suppose $A = .99, b = .5, \alpha = .95, \beta = 1, \phi = 4, \tau = 4$
- Let $x_1 = 50$

```
## set seed for consistent results
library(matrixStats)
set.seed(123)
## set values for parameters
t. <- 50
y < -x < -rep(0, t)
A <- .99
b <- .5
phi <- 1/.5<sup>2</sup>
tau <- 4
alpha <- .95
beta <- 1
```

```
## set initial x, y values
x[1] = 50
y[1] = alpha*x[1] + beta + rnorm(1, 0, sqrt(1/tau))
## generate latent states and observations
for (i in 2:t){
    x[i] = A*x[i-1] + b + rnorm(1, 0, sqrt(1/phi))
    y[i] = alpha*x[i] + beta + rnorm(1, 0, sqrt(1/tau))
}
```





VectorBiTE Methods Training Bayesian State Space Modeling for Time Series Data

```
Now. let's use a Kalman Filter to estimate the states.
## initialize states for kalman filter and smoother
states.kf <- matrix(NA, nrow = 1000, ncol = t)</pre>
states.kf[,1] <- x[1]
states.kf[1,] <- (y - beta) / alpha</pre>
## sample 1000 points with KF
for (i in 2:1000)
  for (j in 2:t){
    states.kf[i,j] <- rnorm(1, mean = (phi*(A*states.kf[i-1,j-1] + b)</pre>
                           + tau*(alpha*y[j] - alpha*beta))
                           / (phi + tau*alpha<sup>2</sup>),
                           sd = sqrt(1 / (phi + tau*alpha<sup>2</sup>)))
 }
```




Now, let's estimate the states with the smoothing solution

```
## initialize states for kalman filter and smoother
states.smooth <- matrix(NA, nrow = 1000, ncol = t)</pre>
states.smooth[,1] <- x[1]</pre>
states.smooth[1,] <- (y - beta) / alpha</pre>
##
for (i in 2:1000){
  for (j in 2:(t-1)){
    states.smooth[i,j] <- rnorm(1,</pre>
                             mean = (phi*(A*states.smooth[i-1,j-1] + b + A*
                             sd = sqrt(1 / (phi + tau*alpha<sup>2</sup> + A<sup>2</sup> *phi))
  }
  i = t
  states.smooth[i,j] <- rnorm(1,</pre>
                                   mean = (phi*(A*states.smooth[i,j-1] + b)
                                   / (phi + tau*alpha<sup>2</sup>),
                                   sd = sqrt(1 / (phi + tau*alpha<sup>2</sup>)) )
```











JAGS stands for Just Another Gibbs Sampler
 Symbolic language makes it easily accessible

- JAGS stands for Just Another Gibbs Sampler
- Symbolic language makes it easily accessible
- ▶ Written in C++ with easy parallelization across chains

- JAGS stands for Just Another Gibbs Sampler
- Symbolic language makes it easily accessible
- ▶ Written in C++ with easy parallelization across chains
- Does univariate Metropolis-Hastings to sample parameters (usually)



- JAGS does quite a good job fitting NDLMs
- The latent states have analytic Gibbs sampling updates

- JAGS does quite a good job fitting NDLMs
- The latent states have analytic Gibbs sampling updates
- JAGS will use the same updates for the latent states that we used for the smoothing solution

Suppose that we want to fit the following NDLM in JAGS:

$$\begin{aligned} x_t &= A x_{t-1} + b + \epsilon_{proc} \\ y_t &= x_t + \epsilon_{obs} \\ A &= .99, b = .5, x_1 \sim N(50, 1) \\ \epsilon_{proc} &\sim N(0, \phi), \epsilon_{obs} \sim N(0, \tau), \end{aligned}$$

where A, b, τ are all known

```
## set values for parameters
t <- 25
y <- x <- rep(0, t)
A <- .99
b <- .5
phi <- tau <- 4
alpha <- 1
beta <- 0
## set initial x, y values
set.seed(54321)
x[1] = 50
y[1] = alpha*x[1] + beta + rnorm(1, 0, sqrt(1/tau))
## generate latent states and observations
for (i in 2:t)
 x[i] = A*x[i-1] + b + rnorm(1, 0, sqrt(1/phi))
 y[i] = alpha*x[i] + beta + rnorm(1, 0, sqrt(1/tau))
}
```

```
library(rjags)
## sink jags model
sink('jags_test.bug')
cat('model {
  for(i in 2:nday){
    x.pred[i] = A*x[i-1] + b
    x[i] ~ dnorm(x.pred[i], phi)
  7
  for(i in 1:nday){
    v[i] \sim dnorm(x[i], 4)
  7
  ## Initial conditions
  x[1] \sim dnorm(50, 1)
  ## Priors on process errors
  phi ~ dnorm(0, .01)T(0,100)
sink()
```

```
library(rjags, quietly= TRUE)
## make data list
model data <- list('nday' = t,</pre>
                    'y' = y,
                    'A' = A,
                    'b' = b)
## compile model
jags_ex1 <- jags.model('jags_test.bug',</pre>
                    data = model_data,
                    n.chains=1,
                    n.adapt=1000)
## generate samples
samples_ex1 = coda.samples(model = jags_ex1,
                 variable.names =
                 c('phi', paste0(paste0('x[', 1:25), ']')),
                n.iter = 20000)
```

Example: NDLM in JAGS





We can extract summary statistics in JAGS using summary()

>			phi		x[10]	x[11]	x[12]
>	Mean		2.493203880	51	.842341901	52.280849023	52.428670927
>	SD		1.264050828	0	.377807593	0.382022262	0.388409123
>	Naive SE		0.008938189	0	.002671503	0.002701305	0.002746467
>	Time-series	SE	0.024994267	0	.003428142	0.003576455	0.003702672

summary() can also be used to extract quantiles

>		phi	x[10]	x[11]	x[12]	x[13]
>	2.5%	0.8576493	51.11137	51.54536	51.68505	51.11910
>	25%	1.5893488	51.58633	52.02076	52.16526	51.61301
>	50%	2.2213025	51.83817	52.28233	52.42565	51.86433
>	75%	3.1045108	52.09853	52.53284	52.68484	52.11452
>	97.5%	5.7465298	52.58831	53.04071	53.21512	52.58584

Trace plots and density plots allow us to visualize our posterior distributions and help to assess problems with mixing Plots for Phi Plots for Phi



VectorBiTE Methods Training Bayesian State Space Modeling for Time Series Data

effectiveSize() in the coda package gives us an estimate of how many *unique* posterior samples we have generated with our MCMC. This is an important diagnostic in State Space Models, where states can be slow to mix and highly autocorrelated.

```
library(coda)
effectiveSize(samples_ex1)
               x[10] x[11] x[12] x[13] x[14]
                                                               x [15]
       phi
>
  2557.692 12145.734 11409.634 11003.944 14481.395 13163.271 13692.753
>
     x[17]
               x[18]
                        x[19]
                                x[1]
                                           x[20]
                                                     x[21]
                                                               x [22]
>
> 12124.621 13220.469 15361.542 13761.179 12800.519 11381.574 12398.019
     x[24]
               x[25]
                         x[2]
                                   x[3]
                                             x[4]
                                                       x[5]
                                                                x[6]
>
> 13283.061 13947.661 14155.159 11705.865 6000.615 11569.733 12949.963
>
      x [8]
                x [9]
> 8848,881 13755,663
```

Extension: Missing Data

In the example case, we had all of our observations available. It turns out that it's simple to incorporate missing observation data into our JAGS analysis!

```
## make y_miss, an example with missing observation data y_miss <- y \,
```

```
## set observations 10 through 15 to be missing
y_miss[10:15] <- NA</pre>
```

Extension: Missing Data

```
## make list of data with observations missing
model_data_missing <- list('nday' = t,</pre>
                    'v' = v miss,
                    'A' = A.
                    'b' = b)
## compile model with missing data
jags_ex1_missing <- jags.model('jags_test.bug',</pre>
                        data = model data missing,
                        n.chains=1.
                        n.adapt=1000)
## generate samples
samples_ex1_missing = coda.samples(model = jags_ex1_missing,
                         variable.names =
                         c('phi', paste0(paste0('x[', 1:25), ']')),
                         n_{iter} = 20000
```

Extension: Missing Data





Extension: Forecasting

We have talked about how State Space Models are powerful tools for forecasting, but how can we forecast with them in JAGS? It turns out that we can forecast in JAGS by just tacking on NAs on the end of our observation list

```
## add 7 days with no observations onto the end of y_miss
y_miss <- c(y_miss, rep(NA, 7))</pre>
```

```
## change nday value to reflect the 7 new days added t <- 32
```

Extension: Forecasting

```
## create mode data list with new values of t, y_miss
model_data_forecast <- list('nday' = t,</pre>
                            'y' = y_miss,
                            'A' = A.
                             'b' = b)
## compile model for forecast
jags_ex1_forecast <- jags.model('jags_test.bug',</pre>
                                data = model data forecast,
                                n.chains=1,
                                n.adapt=1000)
## generate samples
samples_ex1_missing = coda.samples(model = jags_ex1_forecast,
                                     variable.names =
                                     c('phi', paste0(paste0('x[', 1:t), '
                                    n.iter = 20000)
```

Extension: Forecasting



